STATES OF JERSEY

COMMON STRATEGIC POLICY 2026 – 2030 (P.75/2026): NINETEENTH AMENDMENT (P.75/2026 AMD.(19)) – AMENDMENT

Lodged au Greffe on 3rd November 2026
by the Council of Ministers
Earliest date for debate: 10th November 2026

STATES GREFFE

COMMON STRATEGIC POLICY 2026 - 2030 (P.75/2026): NINETEENTH AMENDMENT (P.75/2026 AMD.(19)) – AMENDMENT

1 PAGE 2 –

After the words "whilst maintaining" substitute the word "existing" for "appropriate".

COUNCIL OF MINISTERS

Note: After this amendment, the amendment would read as follows –

1 PAGE 2

After the words "report accompanying this Proposition" insert the words –

", except that, on page 10 of the report, in Action 4.1 after "Revise the Island Plan" there should be inserted the words ", where necessary and evidenced,"".

2 PAGE 2 –

After the words "report accompanying this Proposition" insert the words –

", except that, on page 10 of the report, in Action 4.3 after "Reduce the burden of regulation to businesses" there should be inserted the words "whilst maintaining appropriate environmental, heritage and consumer protection"".

3 PAGE 2 –

After the words "report accompanying this Proposition" insert the words –

", except that, on page 10 of the report, for the words "The test should be simple: regulation should exist where it is necessary and proportionate, not because that is how Government has always done things" there should be substituted the following –

"The test should be simple: regulation should exist where it is necessary and proportionate, with priority given to areas of regulation that disproportionately impact small and micro-businesses and organisations"".

Note: After this amendment, the proposition would read as follows –

THE STATES are asked to decide whether they are of opinion –

in accordance with Article 18(2)(e) of the States of Jersey Law 2005, to approve the statement of the Common Strategic Policy of the Council

of Ministers as set out in the report accompanying this Proposition, except that –

On page 10 of the report, in action 4.1 after the words "Revise the Island Plan" there should be inserted the words ",where necessary and evidenced,".

On page 10 of the report, in action 4.3 after the words "Reduce the burden of regulation to businesses" there should be inserted the words "whilst maintaining appropriate environmental, heritage and consumer protection".

On page 10 of the report for the words "The test should be simple: regulation should exist where it is necessary and proportionate, not because that is how Government has always done things" there should be substituted the following –

"The test should be simple: regulation should exist where it is necessary and proportionate, with priority given to areas of regulation that disproportionately impact small and micro-businesses and organisations.".

REPORT

The Council of Ministers supports the Amendment, recognising that it adds additional assurance and clarity into the Common Strategic Policy, including the importance of environmental, heritage and consumer protection. Ministers agree wholeheartedly that these matters are important.

Ministers also believe that regulation must be proportionate and balanced, and that the overall burden on businesses should be reduced.

This Amendment to the Amendment therefore proposes one small but important change – replacing the word “existing” with “appropriate”.

The Council of Ministers are concerned that the term “existing” implies too strongly that the full set of existing arrangements will be maintained.

In some matters, levels of protection may need to be strengthened in response to emerging issues, risks or technological developments; while in others, technology, innovation, a focus on risk, and an understanding of how best to engage with the public and businesses, open significant opportunities for streamlining processes without compromising outcomes.

In all events, these matters should be considered on a case-by-case basis weighing the specific circumstances, while, crucially, aiming for a reduction in the overall burden of regulation on businesses.

This approach is essential to our economic future. It is also wholly compatible with appropriate, balanced and proportionate, environmental, heritage and consumer protection.

Financial and staffing implications

As a principal agreement it is not possible to identify financial and manpower implications at this stage.

Children’s Rights Impact Assessment

This amendment to the amendment has no direct or indirect impact on children; the duty to have due regard to the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child does not arise. Accordingly, a Children's Rights Impact Assessment is not required under the Children (Convention Rights) (Jersey) Law 2022.