

3.3 Deputy M.R. Scott of St. Brelade of the Chair of the Privileges and Procedures Committee regarding the States Assembly Decision Tracker (OQ.51/2026):

In relation to the States Assembly Decision Tracker available on the States Assembly website, will the chair advise the reasoning behind the decision to limit the information on the tracker to propositions dating back to June 2018 and whether it is anticipated that propositions prior to that date will be included; and if so, to what earlier date and if not, why not?

Deputy S.M. Ahier of St. Helier North (Chair, Privileges and Procedures Committee):

The tracker was completed back to the start of the 2018 term for operational and administrative reasons. When the tracker was introduced, as a requirement of Standing Orders, there was no obligation to look backwards. Starting the tracker from January 2024, when it was introduced, would have been sufficient procedurally. The States Greffe decided to complete it back to June 2018, as this was considered to strike an appropriate balance between providing the information desired by members and the public, and the resource and time required to populate the tracker. Going back further would evidently require more time. For that reason, there is no anticipation at present of populating the tracker with propositions from before June 2018.

3.3.1 Deputy M.R. Scott:

One of the propositions that is not included on the tracker as a result of this decision is P.32/2018, relating to the establishment of a public services ombudsman. Given that the P.P.C. (Privileges and Procedures Committee) has in the past been resistant to the implementation of this proposition, would the chair like to comment on whether it might be optically appropriate to at least go back another year?

Deputy S.M. Ahier:

I do not think it would be necessary to go back before that period. As the Deputy mentioned, she referenced P.32 by Deputy Ozouf, but it is quite clear that that particular proposition has not been forgotten because of all the engagement that Deputy Scott has had on this particular matter. I think that Members will be aware of those propositions which they believe should be brought forward, and I do not think it would be necessary to populate the tracker prior to that date at the current time.